

DALLAS CONSPIRACY



\$1⁰⁰

PARDON ME, but... #2

Published by Northpoint Teams, PO Box 129, Topton, North Carolina 28781

Foreword

This is a reprint of a pamphlet I published twenty-four years ago when the trail of the murder in Dallas was still warm. A conspiracy is a combination of two or more persons with the intent to commit an unlawful act. With the renewed interest in this most highly publicized murder in American history through the movie **JFK**, it was decided to reprint the truth as I studied it for the two years between 1966 to 1968, and set my findings forth as I did then, letting the chips fall where they may. I will be presenting an entirely different version than the dozen or more that have been published before. Since my first edition hit the streets in August, 1968, I have read many of the later theoretical solutions to this murder, including the 625 page book, **Crossfire**, by Jim Marrs, the basis of Oliver Stone's movie **JFK**. I still stand by what was written years ago. I can assure the reader that one does not need 625 pages to solve this murder! The reader is only asked to read the evidence presented and make up his own mind about what happened in Dallas, Texas on November 22, 1963. We will not debate the issue further with anyone. We are not interested in radio talk shows, television appearances, or public speaking engagements on the data contained herein.

My Humble Dedication

This pamphlet is dedicated to the one far-sighted man, the late Raymond LaBombarde, American Patriot and Industrialist, of Nashua, New Hampshire. It was through his financial help and personal encouragement, extended to me years ago, that kept my publications going and made our two years of exhaustive research for this publication financially possible. Well done, good and faithful servant.

Reproduction Encouraged

This pamphlet is not copyrighted. Anyone is free to publish his own pamphlets or newsletter, containing our research, with or without credits to the author. Americans who wish to republish this pamphlet in quantity for distribution in your home area, will be sent high-quality masters prepared for off-set webb printing for the price of \$20.00. All we ask is that you respect the common law rights to our work and not alter its contents in any way except to include your local address as to where additional copies can be obtained. This would be a good fund-raising project for your church, club or organization.

PARDON ME, but... is a free Christian patriotic newsletter which is sent to anyone upon written request. Donations are encouraged and used to expand our circulation. This is our 28th year of publication. This publication is supported entirely today by The Northpoint Teams, a dedicated group of Christian patriots and are the ones who make these publications possible. Single copies of this issue are \$2.00 post-paid. 50 copies are \$25.00 + \$5.00 postage. 100 copies are \$40.00 + \$10.00 postage and handling. Write to us for this and other publications at Northpoint Teams, PO Box 129, Topton, North Carolina 28781.

First Printing - August, 1968 Second Printing - November 1969
Third Printing - November, 1971 Fourth Printing - November, 1977
Fifth Printing - April, 1992

"A conspiracy is rarely, if ever, proved by positive testimony. When a crime of high magnitude is about to be perpetrated by a combination of individuals, they do not act openly, but covertly and secretly. The purpose formed is known only to those who enter into it. Unless one of the conspirators betray his companions and give evidence against them, their guilt can only be proved by circumstantial evidence."

It is said by some writers on evidence that such circumstances are stronger than positive proof. A witness swearing positively, it is said, may misapprehend the facts or swear falsely, but that circumstances do not lie."

**-Special Judge Advocate John A. Bingham, Washington, D.C. 1865
During the trial of the Lincoln Assassination Conspirators**

Almost 29 years ago, a middle-aged man riding beside a very lovely lady in a Presidential automobile, was shot and instantly killed on Elm Street in Dallas, Texas.

Because of the avalanche of data and speculation now in print, it may be concluded that this is very probably the most famous murder in American history. In spite of errors in both logic and physics, most Americans believe that they have a pretty good idea how it all happened. Few, if asked, can advance any logical motive for the murder. Most are satisfied to dismiss it with a simple shrug of the shoulders.

Surprisingly, almost every account of the event, from the now highly suspect Warren Commission Report, down to the most obscure speculator in print, contains enough of these obvious errors and contradictions to discredit the work and open to question either the motives or the ability of the author. This,

quite frankly, includes Oliver Stone, and his movie **JFK**.

Unlike most such Kennedy assassination authors, I pretend neither unfathomable wisdom nor special political sophistication. I do not claim privileged inside information, as does Manchester. I do not command the exhaustive resources of investigative agencies. All I have had is hours of hard, exhausting work. I am not, however, quite as gullible as the Warren Commission presumes most Americans to be. I simply believe that you will find this pamphlet to be thought-provoking, not because its implications can in any way help the man who now lies buried in Arlington, but because it will cast a spotlight upon the evil influences which now control the government of the United States. Those subversive influences have

only increased in the years since the tragic event in Dallas, Texas in November, 1963. -

-Nord Davis, Jr., April, 1992



PARDON ME, but... #2

Julius Caesar, Act III, Scene 1

And Brutus said:

*Stoop, Romans, Stoop
And let us bathe our hands
In Caesar's blood.
Up to the elbows, and besmear
Our swords:
Then walk we forth, even to the
Market place,
And waving our red weapons o'er
Our heads,
Let's all cry "Peace, freedom and
Liberty!"*

And Cassius added,

*Stoop, then, and wash. How many
Ages hence
Shall our lofty scene be acted
Over
In States unborn and accents yet
Unknown!*

-Shakespeare

Minutes after the Dallas murder the Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court issued a curious statement:

"...A great and good President suffered martyrdom as a result of the hatred and bitterness that (had) been infected into the life of our nation by bigots."

Even after twenty-eight years, those old enough to remember that event will recall Earl Warren's famous statement. I am not concerned right now as to whether the Chief Justice intended to refer to the actual assassin, or to the "climate" which may have motivated the assassin. *Bigot*, in the lexicon of the Far Left, the communists and totalitarian socialists, who live among us, means anyone who is a "patriot" or, God forbid, an "anti-communist." They have seldom

used it in any other context. Well, no sooner had the Chief Justice issued that unfounded, premature statement, than the communist Lee Harvey Oswald was captured. How could he have expected that turn of events?

Earl Warren was on the spot! The only person captured anywhere near the scene of the murder was a communist, not, one presumes, a "bigot." Trapped in an awkward situation such as this, and long before any evidence whatever had been unearthed, Warren's flexible mind came up with what was to be the final answer: Lee Harvey Oswald has acted alone.*

**"Let's
remember
that
revolutions
do not
always
establish
freedom."**

-President Fillmore

You must try to grasp the proper perspective. Here was a communist Oswald, who had renounced his American citizenship and gone to live in the Soviet Union. Few Americans have given up their citizenship, but how many have you ever heard of that were accepted from America into the Soviet Union? Unusual, to say the very least. Oswald happened to choose to live in Minsk, the same city, as mere chance

"This new idea of a "lone assassin" theory, which worked so well in the Dallas murder, was to become the "norm" for political murders, and attempted murders, over the next few decades. First was Jack Ruby, who was the lone assassin of Lee Harvey Oswald. Martin Luther King, they say, was murdered by a "lone gunman," James Earl Ray, and although he has never had a trial, he has been in prison for twenty years. Then, there was Sirhan Sirhan, who they tell us "acted alone" in the murder of Robert Kennedy soon after he had won the Democratic nomination for President. Later, there was the "lone assassin" Hinkley, who shot and wounded President Reagan.

would have it, where the Soviet Secret Police, Unit 13, maintains their school for assassins. The **JFK** movie authors managed to overlook that point. While there, young romantic Oswald fell in love and married a Soviet national, a girl who just happened to live with her uncle, a Colonel in the Soviet KGB. Some time later, communist Oswald, now a family man with one child, left the Soviet Union bound for America. Here is a communist who is no longer an American citizen by his personal choice, permitted to come here and bring two Soviet nationals, his wife and child, along with him. Have you ever heard of any other two Soviet citizens who have been so fortunate back in those days?

Oswald's repatriation and the special visas for his wife and daughter were arranged for him by the US Embassy in Moscow. Where did Oswald get the money for such an expensive trip? The Warren Hearings revealed that his trip, and some future monetary needs, were provided by a special State Department loan, personally cleared by the Secretary of State Dean Rusk. Dean Rusk, for you younger readers, had always been in the Marxist movements around the world since the days he served in China in what would later become the CIA. Rusk, according to the House Committee on UnAmerican Activities, Appendix Part 9, identifies Dean Rusk as a Director of the Communist Front known as the "Institute for Pacific Relations." As you will see, this background information is key to understanding the Dallas murder and those involved in it as a conspiracy.

Anyway, the record shows that this

"God
grants
liberty
only to
those
who
love it,
and are
always
ready
to guard
and
defend
it."

Daniel Webster

lone assassin managed to travel here and there about America with no means of support anywhere close to the amount of money he was spending. One might expect that this man with his "progressive" background might settle near Harvard or Columbia where he would find companionship among others of his own leftist thinking and degenerate kind. Instead, of all places, communist Oswald decided to settle in Dallas, a city well-known for its high proportion of patriotic, anti-communist Americans. Luckily, the communist Oswald managed to secure a low income job in a building overlooking the President's route, several weeks before that route had even been made public. How in the world did he know exactly where he was supposed to be? No one seems to want to ask that question, though, as Oliver Stone's movie points out, it is no longer unusual for people to arrive at some kind of conspiracy theory.

Communist Oswald had gone through basic training with the US Marine Corps. Although not the best of Marines, he was at least familiar with military rifles and their use. Yet, instead of buying a rifle with which he was familiar through Marine Corps training, the record shows

that he bought a mail order carbine, a short barrel, inaccurate weapon designed primarily for close combat situations. Knowing that this weapon could not be carried with him on his flight from the Texas Book Depository, he certainly would have assumed that the weapon would be found, no matter how carefully he might hide it. That being true, wouldn't you think he would have known that it would be quickly traced to



U. S. News & World Report 12/9/63

him? Why would anyone make that serious mistake, unless they did so on purpose?

Imagine this situation from the Warren Commission theory. Suppose you are communist Oswald. You are going to do the most important job in your life -- murder the President of the United States. You will have only one chance, a long shot from the fifth floor of a building overlooking his motorcade. You go to a sports catalog in search of a weapon. In Kleins Sporting Goods advertisement offering a wide selection of quality firearms, you select an internationally known piece of junk, a vintage 6.5 mm Mannlicher Carcano Italian carbine that costs \$12.00! Come on, be realistic! No one is that much of a fool! Oswald had to have known that this weapon would not be up to doing the job of killing the President from that range and that it would certainly be found and traced directly to him. Oliver Stone makes almost the same assumption, and proves from this that there had to have been someone else doing some of the shooting. I once owned one of these Mannlicher Carcano carbines. It has a clumsy, long stroke, bolt action that would be especially awkward for any left-hander. Oswald was left-handed. Apparently, he figured himself such a crack shot that he took only four

bullets with him. No more were ever found. Unfortunately for the Warren Theory, this weapon has a very unusual tin "clip" such that the weapon cannot be loaded unless there are six available rounds to hold themselves together in the clip prior to loading! If he missed his target with these four bullets, he would still expect to serve a life term for attempted murder. Should he kill his target, and be captured, he could expect to be executed under Texas law. Certainly he could not expect to get away with it, not with a mail-order weapon left behind that would be traceable to him. Even the odds of just escaping from the Book Depository might seem very high. The Warren Commission would have us believe that communist Oswald took all this risk with a carbine and scope having a combined value of less than \$20.

If I were ever thinking of taking a pot-shot at the President, I would have purchased a very common modern rifle through a private sale and with cash. I would have selected a semi-automatic sniper rifle capable of putting out many bullets in a very short time. My selection would have been the same one that Lee Harvey Oswald trained with as a Marine, the U.S. M-1 Garand. As it happens, I took my Navy basic training with this weapon and own one in very fine condition complete with bayonet. It is a gift from a Northpoint Team member who knew that I have wanted one for years. As you will notice, that M-1 Garand rifle is advertised right above the Italian carbine in the very Klein ad that U.S. News & World Report says that Oswald had ordered from! I wish I could find one of these today for only \$90! Then I would have ground off all the identifying serial numbers in an effort to at least try to protect myself. I am not into martyrdom. Now doesn't that make more sense than the actions taken by Mr. Oswald? Of course.

The question I have tried to answer in this pamphlet is this: Was communist Oswald stupid, or did he have a far different role to play in the Dallas Conspiracy? Could his role have been that of a decoy -- a man who could be rather easily captured so that the real triggerman could get away? Would a family man put himself into this predicament if he were not certain that he could be proven innocent in a court of law? This and similar speculations did not deter an adamant Chief Justice from concluding: Lone assassin; no conspiracy.

Oswald, according to the Warren Commission acted alone. He did what he did because of a "climate of bigotry" found in Dallas. It would not be acceptable to have the murder any part of a communist conspiracy. Haven't the communists and their friends, such as Earl Warren and George Bush, been insisting for forty years that no such conspiracy exists? If gullible Americans were to learn that their beloved President had been murdered as part of some communist conspiracy, they might insist that their government stop trade and financial aid to communist dictators. They might demand that communist speakers be barred from college campuses and that the communist leadership in urban riots be made public. You would have to have lived in those times of the mid-60's to understand

this problem faced by the Warren Commission. Oliver Stone and others need to stop trying to force the solution to the murder in Dallas out of the social and political context of that unusual era.

If the American people had ever found out that a communist had been a party to this murder, they might have triggered another round of "McCarthyism." Americans might demand a Congressional investigation of the communist penetration into high levels of the Federal Government, especially in the State Department. This we know: the Warren Commission did not want that to happen. Nor, as further disclosures in this pamphlet will reveal, neither did they want the involvement of American CIA intelligence operatives known either. Thus the only alternative --- logic, physics, and physical evidence to the contrary--- "Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone."


As the long arm of coincidence would have it, somehow another "lone assassin" managed to get through the tight security at the Dallas police station at just the right moment to be filmed on nation-wide TV. Thus, everyone in America could see first hand how the first "lone assassin" has been killed. No conspiracy could have done a better job of eliminating the chief suspect before



Lee Harvey Oswald as he is being shot by Jack Ruby from Saturday Evening Post, December 14, 1963

he could talk. By these strange coincidences, at least, Oliver Stone's movie *JFK*, has proven beyond any shadow of doubt, that a conspiracy to murder the President was in operation in Dallas on November 22, 1963. As Stone and others point out, if Oswald was not just a "lone assassin" then there are others still free and the case is not closed. Logically, if Oswald acted alone, and then Ruby killed him acting alone, which the Warren Commission says they did, why should there be any point to the Commission withholding this vital data from the American public for seventy-five years? Who are they protecting, and why?

I think that by the time you have studied this issue of PARDON ME, but... you will have an answer. It is my answer. I acknowledge help in the solution to this crime from some incredible and unusual places. I can now acknowledge, since the man is now deceased, that I had some moon-light criminology help from a top detective in the Massachusetts State Police and their crime labs as to the evidence regarding foreshortening of telephoto lenses, etc. critical to my solution. I believe that you will be convinced that Oswald did not act alone but as part of a well-drilled team. I believe that anyone of average intelligence will soon conclude that a conspiracy existed, involving both




The Altgens Photograph. This picture was taken by Associated Press Photographer James Altgens and printed as shown above in Saturday Evening Post, December 14, 1963. I call your attention to the fact because of the distance which Altgens stood and the long focal length of his lens, this picture is foreshortened.

communist and government-employed individuals to carry out the murder of the President of the United States. I think that I can establish that another conspiracy existed within the Warren Commission to cover up important details, to fail to question important witnesses, and to deliberately alter vital photographs causing them to be printed as authentic in Life Magazine and other publications. I will show that this was done in an effort to keep from the public the very identity of the actual murderer, who at the time of the conclusion of the Warren Commission Hearings, had not been arrested. Finally, I hope to demonstrate that these two conspiracies are, in fact of law, very closely related. The fact

is, as we have found out twenty years after our initial publication of this pamphlet, they are one and the same.

Chapter Two

When one accuses the Warren Commission in print of a conspiracy to falsify the evidence to which it had been entrusted, he must be able to prove it. I am now prepared to do just that, though you must appreciate that in so few pages I am not going to be able to exhaust every detail of every point discussed. I must hold some trump cards in this case to insure my own personal safety.



This foreshortening tends to exaggerate the curve of the curb making it appear to be the curve at the corner of Elm and Houston Streets. This is not that corner but the curved portion further down Elm Street in the direction that the cars are moving. This curb you see here which appears to be about 10 feet in length is actually about 40 feet long.

It must be remembered that the Warren Report was the **conclusion** of the Warren Commission alleged to have been based upon the evidence which they uncovered during the Hearings. The complete text of these Hearings fills 26 volumes of testimony by hundreds of witnesses. Furthermore, the Warren Report was the unanimous conclusion of all members of this bi-partisan panel. There were no dissenting opinions, which, in itself, is rather unique. It should also be remembered that the Congress, the Senate, the FBI, the CIA, and the Secret Service already had professional investigative agencies established. One of these, it would seem, should have been assigned to do this important task instead of a quickly formed panel of amateurs. It is interesting to note that the first issue of the official communist newspaper, **The Worker**, printed after the murder, dated November 26, 1963, called for an Ex-

traordinary Commission headed by Chief Justice Earl Warren, to investigate this crime. President Johnson ordered just that four days later. I have reproduced this rare clipping from the communist press so that you can see for yourself that the communists fully trusted Earl Warren to do the investigating.

The first piece of evidence that I am going to offer showing that there are physical alterations of evidence in the Warren Report involved this Altgens photograph. You will find it on pages 24 and 25 of the Saturday Evening Post, dated December 14, 1963. I have reproduced this photograph on the previous two pages. On the next page, you will see a photograph from the air of Dealey Plaza which appeared on page 47 of **Life Magazine**, dated October 2, 1964, which was published after the conclusions of the Warren Commission were announced.




(8 Pages) Return Postage Guaranteed Price 10 Cents

Justice and the internal security of the nation call for the most complete investigation and revelation of all factors which brought forth this heinous assassination of President Kennedy. We believe that President Johnson on the one hand and Congress on the other should act at once to appoint respective Extraordinary Investigation Commissions with full powers to conduct a searching inquiry into all the circumstances around the assassination of the President and the murder of the suspect.

Bring all parties whose hands are bloody with performance or complicity, in this crime against the nation, to full justice, in conformity with respect for the Constitutional rights for the suspected or accused. Such an investigating committee, headed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, should be composed of citizens and experts who enjoy the confidence of the nation.

Please note that the Warren Report states that the man beside the lovely lady was shot at two locations along Elm Street which are marked with an "X." If you will look carefully, you will note that these two points are essentially along the straighter portions of the street as evidenced by the almost **straight** curb line. Do you see that? Now, look at the famous Altgens photograph. This is the first known picture of the motorcade **after** the first shots were fired. Note that the Secret Service personnel riding on the right side of the Presidential follow-up car, the second car, are both looking in the general direction of the Book Depository. Note that the Vice-Presidential follow-up car, the fourth and white car, shows a state of alarm. Do you see that the rear door is open and an agent is getting out? Note the inclination of the car, showing that the brakes are being applied and the car is dipping to the front. Checking the various landmarks, between the Altgens Photograph and the **Life Magazine** air view, you can see that this 4th car is just



Life Magazine, October 2, 1964, page 47

turning the corner onto Elm Street at the time of this picture. Study carefully. Do you see that? Now, look through the windshield of the Presidential car and you will see the white gloved hand of the pretty lady holding the arm of the man hit by the gunfire. Do you see that? Thus you can see that this man beside the lovely lady had been hit sometime earlier than the instant of the Altgens photograph, for both the man and the lovely lady had time to react to the bullets. Now, scanning across to the right from the front bumper of the Presidential car to the curb, you will observe that this picture was taken at a time when the Presidential car was still opposite the

curved outward portion of this curb. Thus you can see for yourself that the first shots were fired, not half-way down Elm Street as the Warren Report states, but sometime just after the Presidential car had rounded the corner onto Elm Street. This one picture is worth, and disproves, a hundred thousand words of testimony and doctored conclusions.

Now, while examining this photograph, note that there is a tree full of foliage just behind the agents on the right side of the 2nd car. This tree is interposed between the Presidential car and Oswald's position on the 6th floor of the Book Depository. Although you cannot determine it for certain from just this one picture, the plain fact is that this tree obscures Oswald's line of sight at the very moment that the first bullets had to have been fired! This fact is established in the Warren Report on page 98, the last four lines where it states:

"It is probable that the President was not shot before (Zapruder film) frame 210 since it is unlikely that the assassin would have shot him with the view obstructed by an oak tree when he was about to have a clear opportunity."

Frame 210 is supposed to be the position of the first "X" in the above picture. Since the Altgens photograph proves conclusively that the first shots were fired just after the Presidential car had rounded the corner, sometime before the Altgens photograph was taken, and not half-way down Elm Street, I will now reveal the first evidence of a tampered photograph. The official Warren Report, page 113, shows this photograph. You guessed it! They have chopped off the photograph at the point where I have drawn a white line down through the picture. Thus, they eliminated the curb portion of the picture, the significant details of the 4th car, and the shadow of the tree in the street which locates the position of the cars at this time! This

"official" chopped off version of the Altgens photograph was also offered as authentic on page 50A of Life Magazine, October 2, 1964.

This is, in my opinion, a key point in any solution to this incredible murder. Thus, I regard the altering of this picture as being part of the conspiratorial criminal cover-up activity following this famous Dallas murder.


Chapter Three

The most important part of this study lies in the number and direction of the shots fired. The Warren Report says that there were only three shots fired, stating, in part:

"The consensus among the witnesses at the scene was that there were three shots fired. However, some heard only two shots, while others testified that they heard four shots, and perhaps as many as five or six shots."

The Warren Commission based its conclusion on the fact that only three shell casings were found at Oswald's position. Since the weapon's clip could not hold more than six rounds, that limited the number of bullets to a maximum of six if they intended to stick to the story that Oswald had acted alone.

Here is the major flaw in that theory. According to the Warren Report, the man beside the lovely lady was hit with two of three shots. Oliver Stone insists that Oswald missed with his first shot, but there is nothing but speculation to establish that. According to the Warren Report, one of these bullets hit the man in the back of the head, and the other hit him in the back, 5 and 3/8" below the collar of his shirt. The Warren Report says that Oswald hit with the first two and missed with the third. With that in mind, consider the sticky question of the bullet holes in the back, wrist and leg of




U. S. News & World Report June 18, 1964

the passenger in front of the man beside the lovely lady. How did these holes get there, according to the Warren Report?

That third bullet, they say, hit the man beside the lovely lady in the back, came out his throat under the adam's apple, went into the back of the man in front of him, through his chest breaking a rib on the way, exiting just below his right nipple, then slammed through his wrist smashing a bone, and then finally lodged in his left thigh. Then this bullet somehow worked out of its wound in the thigh and fell onto the stretcher where it was later found in almost perfect condition. My Sketch #1, shows how this bullet travelled according to the Warren Report.

However, when you also consider the physical position of the man beside the lovely lady, and the reported bullet holes in his body, the Warren Report trajectory becomes a hoax. According to the unanimous conclusion of the Warren Commission, whose only surviving member today is former President Ford, this bullet #3 left Oswald's rifle traveling downward at an angle of 21°34' until it hit the man beside the lovely lady 5 and 3/8" below the collar. From there, the magic bullet went upwards and out his throat just under the adam's apple. To do that it would have to travel upward about 12°. Then, to hit the man beside

Sketch #2



the older lady in front of him where it has been alleged, it would have to make another turn in **mid-air**, going downward through his body, then pass through his right wrist, and make another turn, left and downward, and hit the man in his left thigh. Combining the Warren Report version, with the physical evidence, we have a bullet that travelled as shown in my Sketch #2.


Let's review again the neck wound of the man beside the lovely lady. Get a man's shirt and mark a point on the back that is 5 and 3/8 inches below the collar. Hold that shirt in an erect position. How could a bullet come **downwards** from the 6th floor of the Book Depository, hit the man in the back and go out through the knot in his tie traveling **upward**? Such a wound would be possible **only** if the man had his head between his knees, but we know from the pictures that this was not the case. That little fact may be the reason why the X-ray pictures of the body

have not been released from the Bethesda Naval Hospital. The medical reports of the bullet holes differ greatly between Parkland and Bethesda Hospitals, a serious point that I will cover later in detail. It is enough, at this time, to say that examination of this neck wound, in medical testimony, establishes it as an **entrance**, not an exit wound. This testimony of Dr. Peters, appears in Vol. 6, page 71 of the Commission Hearings.

Based upon this, and other evidence, the only logical conclusion is that this bullet entered the body from the front and not the back! If this is the case, this bullet, at least, could not have come from Oswald's carbine, but must have originated from the south side of Elm Street, opposite the Book Depository, travelling in almost a horizontal path. This would logically put the gunman somewhere on the ground, or in a position near ground level, and on the opposite side of the street from Oswald. Such a bullet path would fit the physical description of the neck wound and I have shown this path in my Sketch #3.

Based upon the position of the Presidential car in the Altgens photograph, and the conditions where a bullet could come in from the left, while missing the windshield, the older lady, the driver, and the side window, we can just about plot what position such a gunman would have to have been in with relation to the car. This would have to be somewhere in the grass or curb almost directly south of the Book Depository. Also, in direct refutation of the Oliver Stone theory, there were no bullets that entered the **right** side of the car from a position on the so-called grassy knoll. Neither Oswald or anyone on the grassy knoll would have a line of sight where the cars were located at the time of the first bullet's impact. For instance, the windshield would have been in the way of a rifle shot from the grassy knoll behind

Sketch #3




the fence, etc. I will say more about this **JFK** theory later.

Chapter Four

The question to be resolved is this: if Oswald had no line of sight to the Presidential car at the time two bullets hit the man beside the lovely lady, and the "throat shot" was an entrance wound not an exit wound, where is any such gunman? Fortunately, two people managed to take pictures which disprove the Warren Report theory, and shoot holes in the theory of the now-famous movie **JFK**. We have discussed Altgens. Now, let's examine the 8mm movie by Abraham Zapruder. Shown here are two frames for

As we examine these two frames very carefully, we remember that they show the south side of Elm Street. There, for all the world to see, is a man who appears to be in the stance of a pistol marksman in two successive frames. The man I will prove can be seen in only five published frames shown in three different copies of Life Magazine published several years apart. The first two are copied directly from Life Magazine, November 29, 1963 page 24, frames 2 and 3. The third frame is from Life Magazine, October 2, 1964. The fourth and fifth frame showing this man, I have not taken the space to publish, but you can find them showing this gunman in Life Magazine, November 25, 1966 on page 40 and marked as frames #193 and #206.



this film first printed in Life Magazine, November 29, 1963. The movie is not sharp because it was hand-held and made a tiny color negative only about 1/4" wide. Detail is lost as it is enlarged. Further detail is lost when I "half-tone" the color pictures into black and white for this publication. I suggest that you seek out the original magazines if you want to do serious research into my solution to this famous murder. Working with a magnifying glass, I have provided sketches of what I see in the original pictures. They are not entered as evidence, but only as a closing argument. I have taken the liberty to show what I see in them.

First, let's examine the frame on the upper left, and sketched below. At






the very right edge of this frame, do you see a man wearing a hat and a black coat? Observe that his right hand is up and his elbow is cocked as though ready to draw down and aim a pistol. Do you see that elbow? Notice the slight bend in his knees as he appears ready to begin his aiming routine.

Notice the little girl who is running

at his right and toward him. Now notice, still in the first frame, that there is a woman with her back to the motorcade, who is stepping up onto the curb in front of the man with the black coat. She is probably trying to get out of the way of the motorcycle policemen. Do you see her with one foot on the curb and one foot still in the gutter? Now look at the same two figures in the next frame. The man in black, still in a slightly crouched position, now has his arm extended toward the Presidential car. He has, at this moment, just finished shooting the first of what I believe to be a burst of four shots from an automatic machine pistol. Notice the woman now. Her legs are splayed outward. Look carefully. Do you see them? Note that she seems to be falling as her height is now below the armpits of the man in black. This poor woman walked right into the path of the bullets from this gunman's machine pistol, and was hit by one or more of them! Did you ever hear of a woman being



killed in Dealey Plaza that day? It is one of the best kept secrets of the Warren Commission. Remember, these two frames were printed in the first issue of Life Magazine after the Dallas murder, and long before the Warren Report issued its deceptive conclusion: "lone assassin, no conspiracy."

Now, look at the large picture from Life Magazine, October 2, 1964 page 43. Do you see the gunman there in the black coat? He has turned and appears about to open fire again toward the back of the Presidential car. Do you see the appearance of the small puff of smoke that lightens up the area between the two windows on the building in the background? Do you see the rather long profile of the man's machine pistol? I believe that this weapon has a silencer screwed on the end of the barrel, and even "smokeless" gun powder, when slowed down passing through a silencer, can be seen. You see it here. A second pistol is very probably in his left hand.

Now, I want you to look at the third picture I have printed from Life Magazine, October 2, 1964. As with the first two, note the signboard in the lower right part of the picture. Note that the Presidential car has only moved a few feet in the time between picture #2 and picture #3. Would you think it reasonable to estimate the time as being less than 5 seconds with the car travelling at 12 mph? Could it be even as little as one second, or less, between the pictures?

Now, point out for me the woman you saw in picture #2. Where is she? She has vanished! She was air-brushed out by Life Magazine in an effort to hide the fact that she was shot and killed by that man in black! Picture #3, shown in Life Magazine, is a fraud on the American public. However, if you will look carefully



at picture #2, as shown in this Life Magazine, you will see that this poor woman was carrying something white under her left arm. It is perhaps a purse or a package of some kind. Do you see it there? Now look again at picture #3. Do you see that the purse or package is still hanging there in mid-air? It was left behind by a careless retouch job on that picture! Amateurs!

Why do you suppose that Life Magazine would go to all that trouble if this were not the true gunman in Dallas that day?

Oswald was right on three counts. He said he never killed anyone, and his recorded voice, when put across a voice-stress machine, indicates that he was not lying. Second, his hands were checked for nitrates, and he had not fired a weapon that day. Third, it is clear that he was framed, a point brought out in the JFK movie. Is this all a figment of my imagination? You can see what you see, but you might think he is a kindly old man who needs to wear a coat when most of the ladies in the picture are in their shirt sleeves. Later fake editions of this Zapruder film have been altered also. They have been shown widely and purport to show that this woman's coat is swirling around the man's legs and that she is exactly super-imposed behind him in this frame so that she cannot be seen. How then do they explain the smoke coming from the muzzle of his pistol? There is more, a whole lot more, but I am going to drop one more riddle for the Oliver Stone fans to solve.



Chapter Five

I want to call your attention to the police officer on the motorcycle nearest the curb. His name is B. J. Martin. There were only 1,300 copies of the 26 volumes of the Warren Hearings ever printed, so I doubt that you can find a copy except in a very large library. In many libraries, their copies have disappeared soon after the initial publishing of my pamphlet, Dallas Conspiracy, 24 years ago. However, if you can find a set, open Vol. 6 to page 292. There you will find the testimony of this Officer Martin. Since most of you will not be able to find a copy, you will have to take my word for what I am going to print as his testimony.

Mr. Ball: Did you notice any stains on your helmet?

Mr. Martin: Yes, sir; During the process of working traffic there I noticed that there were blood stains on the windshield of my motor(cycle) and then I pulled off my helmet and noticed that there were blood stains on the left side of my helmet.

Mr. Ball: to give a more accurate description of the left side, could you tell us about where it started with respect to the forehead?

Mr. Martin: It was just to the left -- of what would be the center of my forehead -- approximately halfway, about a quarter of the helmet had spots of blood on it.

Mr. Ball: What about your uniform?

Mr. Martin: There was blood and matter on the left shoulder of my uniform.

So, how did Officer Martin get this blood and matter on the left side of his uniform? Observe, from all three pictures

that the officer's helmet is to the rear of the gunman's target. The bullet that shattered the woman's head, sprayed a cloud of blood and matter into the air and the officer ran right into it. If this blood had come from anyone within the car, it would have been on the officer's right side, not his left. Do you understand that? That blood and flesh could not have come from within the car, and there were no other places that day wherein Officer Martin could have picked up that blood and flesh, except from this woman. As chance would have it, there is no record that I can find of the name of that woman or how she suddenly disappeared into thin air in the second or two between picture #2 and picture #3.

What did the other police officer have to say that may be of interest here? The other Officer was Bobby Hargis and his testimony is found in Volume 6, page 294. Here is what he had to say, in part:

Mr. Stern: Just a minute, Do you recall your impression at the time regarding the source of the shots?

Mr. Hargis: Well, at the time it sounded like the shots were right beside me.

And so they were! I suggest that the man in black was standing just a few feet from the Presidential target calmly firing a series of bursts of shots from his silenced machine pistol as these motorcycle officers were riding by. From the physical evidence, I believe that the first was a burst of four shots. The first hit his target in the chest. The second hit him in the neck. The third hit him in the forehead blowing out the right rear of his head and slamming his head backwards at the same time. The third or fourth hit the lady who walked in front of him, and sprayed blood and flesh all over Officer Martin. The fourth also hit a man on the street in front of the Book Depository

according to witness Carolyn Walter in Volume 6, page 294. Many witnesses testified as to the noise of a "burst of shots" or a "flury of shots." The Los Angeles Herald Examiner of that day, November 22, 1963 page A4, reported:

"Three bursts of gunfire, apparently from automatic weapons were heard.... blood was spattered all over the limousine..."

The teletype from Dallas, in a report by Merlman Smith stated:

"The gunfire might possibly have come from an automatic weapon. There were three loud bursts..."

In my original 1968 publication, I had not identified the man in black who shot at the man in the Presidential Lincoln. For the reprint in 1971, I still could not identify him. After I moved to North Carolina in 1973, I was approached by some very highly placed* intelligence

contacts who were patriots, and from one of these I learned the true name of the man in black.

His name, identified for the first time in print as the actual assassin, was Georges Von Mohreneskold, aka, George Sergei De Morenschildt.

Among his CIA code-names during this assignment were "Saul" and "Trot."

I can further state that Mr. De Morenschildt died from a shotgun wound to the head on March 29, 1977 at about 2:21 PM at Palm Beach, Florida. Naturally, it was ruled a suicide. I believe that this was so that he could not testify to the House Committee on Assassinations that began hearings in 1977 and issued yet another false official assassination report in 1979.

* Northpoint Team Editorial Commentary. I do not keep sensitive intelligence data and information, such as my set of the 26 Volume Warren Commission Hearings, the identity of my sources, and so forth in either my home or my office. They are secured safely in another state. Those who have high enough federal security clearances will be able to recognise these two words: S****-D****. Enough said, except anyone who can identify these two words will know that I have taken major precautions to protect those who have helped me. We know that Von Mohreneskold, aka, De Morenschildt was a wealthy, cultured White Russian who travelled in the highest financial and social circles. At the very same time, he was a known friend and mentor to Lee Harvey Oswald. Oswald even used De Morenschildt as a reference for a job in pre-revolutionary Russia. De Morenschildt was a Russian Count. His uncle Ferdinand, married the daughter of William Gibbs McAdoo who was then Chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank! McAdoo was President Wilson's Secretary of the Treasury, and helped put through both the Federal Reserve Act and the Federal Income Tax. McAdoo married President Wilson's daughter on May 7, 1914. One of his daughters by this marriage, Eleanor Wilson McAdoo, married Ferdinand Von Mohreneskold, who was the uncle of the man in black. McAdoo was a partner with Paul Warburg in the bank that handled the Rothschild money to finance the Russian Revolution. He knew how to play chess and polo; knew when to wear a black tie to an evening function, and circulate in the highest levels of society and the diplomatic corps. He was a crack shot with a pistol in either hand and had been a CIA 'black bag man' in the past. He was trained as a petroleum engineer with a Master's Degree. We know that he was given a CIA contract on the President at the Hotel Ibolele in Port of Prince, Haiti in May, 1963. At that time, he used the code-name 'Saul.' He also used the code-name 'Trot.' He had gone there, supposedly and as a cover, on oil business on behalf of some Texas oil people and his tie to George Bush, and the Zapata Operation at the time of the thwarted Cuban Bay of Pigs Invasion, can be established. De Morenschildt admitted to a friend, Dutch Journalist Willem Oltmans, that he was involved in the Dallas Conspiracy. Here is the most curious matter regarding this man in black. He was a very close friend, some say a lover, of Mrs. Hugh Archincloss, the former Janet Bouvier, who is none other than the mother of Jackie Bouvier Kennedy, the wife of the man we knew as John Fitzgerald Kennedy. Small world.

Chapter Six

Now, let's review before going on. Thus far, I have shown you several interesting things about the first three shots. I have shown you that they could not have come from Oswald's carbine, because he did not have line of sight to where the Presidential car was at the time those shots were fired. Second, I proved to you that the "throat shot" hit the man beside the lovely lady from the front not the back. Next, I have shown you a man in the crowd, in the proper physical location to have done the shooting, and provided you with three different pictures showing him in three different body positions normally taken by a pistol marksman. Further, I have included reference to testimony that one person, other than the man riding beside the lovely lady, was hit by gunfire on the sidewalk near the book depository, out of range of either anyone on the "grassy knoll" or Oswald. I have shown you a photo of a lady shot and killed, with her blood and flesh spattered over still another person, both opposite Oswald's 6th floor location. Finally, I have shown that two of the vital photographs of the murder scene have been altered, causing a spectator to simply vanish into thin air.

Since there appears to have been at least two persons involved in the murder, Oswald and his mentor, the man in black, George De Morenschildt, then a conspiracy to kill the President has been established. Since two vital photographs have been changed by persons other

than Oswald or De Morenschildt, then the fact of a conspiracy to deceive the American people has also been established. It is almost impossible to uncover and expose facts that took 26 Volumes to cover up. I am asking that this Dallas murder case be reopened and let the chips fall where they may. One of the strongest temptations, which I am trying hardest to resist, is to offer motives and conclusions concerning the reason why the murder of the President might be called for by persons in a *coup d'etat* conspiracy. For more than twenty years, people having read my Dallas Conspiracy have written and asked me for the reason why. I do not know the reason why. I do have some opinions. All I know is a good deal of the evidence surrounding the murder and precisely how it was carried out. Even so, there are going to be some things referred to in this pamphlet about which I do not have the space to document the testimony. In those instances, I offer my apologies along with the volume and page of the Hearing references. Serious students

may then make their own effort to check those references out for themselves.

With that limitation acknowledged and set forth, let's forge on again. We are going to examine some statements and testimony concerning a conflict in the injuries attributed to the man riding beside the lovely lady. My first example appeared in the Los Angeles Times, November 23, 1963 which reported:

"...The wound in the throat was small and neat. Blood was running out of it. It was running out too fast..."

**"If You
Expect
A
People
To Be
Ignorant
And Free,
You
Expect
What
Never
Was And
Never
Can Be."**

-Thomas Jefferson

PARDON ME, but... We know about this bullet for it is the one we have been discussing, and generally referred to, as the "throat shot." Continuing, they report:

"...The occipito parietal, which is part of the back of the head had a huge flap. The damage a .30 caliber bullet does as it come out of a person's body is unbelievable. Blood from the head wound covered the floor. There was a mediastinal wound in the chest."

In the same issue of the Los Angeles Times, there appears another report of an eye-witness regarding the injuries that he saw. He is a 9th grade pupil named Alan Smith who reports:

"The crowds were cheering, but all at once they started screaming. The car was about ten feet from me when a bullet hit the President in the forehead."

PARDON ME, but... Here are two eyewitnesses who report that the man riding beside the lovely lady got hit by three bullets, one in the chest, one in the throat, and one in the forehead. These are wounds which most Americans have never even heard about. Curiously, two doctors who attended the body at the Dallas Parkland Hospital said almost the same thing and recorded in Volume 6, page 67:

"I assume that the right occipital region was the exit."

PARDON ME, but... Any bullet that went out the back of the head must have gone in the front. Any problem with that?

Dr. Peters testimony, found in Volume 6 at page 71, again establishes the same thing:

Mr. Spector: Did you notice any holes below the occiput?

Dr. Peters: No, I did not and at the time and moments following the injury, we speculated as to whether he had been shot once or twice because

we saw the wounds of entry in the throat and noticed a large occipital wound. And it is a known fact that high velocity missiles often have a small wound of entry and a large wound of exit, and I am just giving you my honest impression at the time." *

Here is where things really start to get interesting. Note that there are several witnesses to the bullet holes of entry in the chest, throat and forehead involving two doctors, a news reporter, and a 15 year old boy standing only ten feet away. After the famous body completed its autopsy, it left Parkland Hospital in Dallas bound for the Bethesda Naval Hospital in Maryland, near Washington, D. C. Here a "second" autopsy was to be performed for reasons we have yet to discover. Secret Service agent Kellerman testified to what he observed during this autopsy recorded in Volume 12, page 81:

Mr. Kellerman. Entry to this man's head was right below that wound right here;

Mr Spector: Indicating the bottom of the hairline to the right of the ear, about the lower third of the ear.

Mr. Kellerman: Right, but it was in the hairline, sir.

Commander James J. Humes, the Senior Pathologist at Bethesda stated almost

*As we go to press, Charles Crenshaw, MD., now Chief of Surgery at Fort Worth, Texas hospital, has been on ABC's 20/20, Friday, April 3, 1992, stating that he was a young physician at the Parkland Hospital at the time the man riding beside the lovely lady was brought into the hospital. He stated that he examined the body several times, and agrees with Dr. Peters, that the wounds were from the front, not the rear, as the Warren Commission contends. He has courageously published a book titled JFK, The Conspiracy of Silence, that sets forth his theory of the case. You might want to add it to your collection.

the same thing, as found in Volume 2, page 355:

"We found the right cerebral hemisphere was markedly disrupted. There was a longitudinal laceration of the right hemisphere which was parasagittal in position."

PARDON ME, but... Here we have two qualified medical witnesses who state just the opposite from what equally qualified medical doctors at Parkland Hospital have entered into the medical records. What confused my study for months, as I was doing this research, was that it is literally impossible for two sets of medical pathologists to differ so widely on their examination, only a few days apart, of the same body. How is that possible? Yet, I have quoted directly from the record. There is only one conclusion possible: **there had to be two different bodies being examined!**

I know how incredible that sounds, and the Pandora's Box that this revelation will be opening. "Come on, Davis! Two bodies? Where are you going with this?" I'll tell you where: right into the heart of the conspiracy. Now, go back and reread the reports of the civilian doctors at Parkland Hospital. Do they mention anything about a bullet hole in the right shoulder? No, they did not, and since the body lay naked on the table in front of them, don't you think that they would have noticed one if it were there? Here is what government agent Kellerman, states as he watches the autopsy in the government hospital as found in Volume 12, at page 61:

Mr. Spector: All right. What other wounds, if any, did you observe on the President?

Mr Kellerman: The other wound that I noticed was on his right shoulder.

Mr. Spector: Which shoulder?

Mr. Kellerman: Right shoulder.

Mr. Kellerman goes on to describe that wound in careful detail. These would be wounds that would substantiate the Warren Report theory that the man riding beside the lovely lady was hit from the **back** and not the **front**. Now, if there were not two bodies, how do you account for that. Worse yet, if there were two different bodies, *how would you account for that?* The plot thickens.

Incredible as it seems to those who are not accustomed to astounding events surrounding that time-frame in Dallas, there is sufficient evidence to establish that there were two bodies, each killed in an absolutely different way, and both posing as the President of the United States. Neither may be the President, but certainly both cannot be.

Can you imagine how I might hesitate to bring this up? What other Kennedy author, of the dozens around, suggests the idea of two different bodies? I know just how this sounds, but please bear with me for a few more pages. Perhaps I need to add a little more documentation from both Parkland and Bethesda Hospitals. From Parkland, we read in Volume 21, page 153:

"Dr. Clark pronounced the President dead at 1 PM exactly. All the President's belongings, except his watch, were given to the Secret Service...He was put in a bronze-colored casket after being wrapped in a blanket, and was taken from the hospital...."

From the Bethesda report, found in Volume 2, Page 349 by Dr. Humes:

"I might preface my remarks by stating that the President's body was received in our morgue in a closed casket. We opened the casket, Dr. Boswell and I, and the President's body was unclothed in the casket, wrapped in a sheet marked by the Parkland Hospital, but was un-

clothed once the sheet was removed from his body so we did not have at that time any clothing."

PARDON ME, but...: Here you have a body wrapped in a **blanket** at civilian Parkland Hospital in Dallas, and then unwrapped from a **sheet** at the government hospital at Bethesda. Would it be too much to ask, if there really were two bodies, which one of them, *if any*, lies buried beneath The Eternal Flame in Arlington National Cemetery? Is it the one with the bullet holes in the chest, neck and forehead entering from the front, or is it the one with the bullet holes in the back, right shoulder, and the back of the head entering from the rear?

Having wondered about the "second body" riddle, I tried to find out if other autopsy reports regarding this case were just as confusing. Except for Oswald's murder, which happened later, and whose autopsy was so interesting that it rates a separate edition of PARDON, ME, but... some day, there was no one else, except Officer J. D. Tippit, reported killed at the same time. So, I went looking for the autopsy of Officer Tippit. Would you believe? In all 26 volumes of the Warren Hearings, there is no mention whatever of an autopsy of Mr. Tippit. There is a record of the wounds in the body of Mr. Tippit, and this is found in an affidavit of Capt. Glenn King of the Dallas Police found in Volume 20 at page 465:

"Three shots struck Officer Tippit in the temple, forehead and the chest."

Officer Tippit's wounds are also described in the Markham Exhibit #1. Mrs. Markham stated:

"...(Tippit)...was shot twice in the head and once in the chest."

PARDON ME, but... Is it not strange that the wounds of Officer J. D. Tippit, *unofficially recorded by Capt. King and Mrs. Markham*, and where there was no official autopsy ever made, happen to match most closely with those reported in the body of the man sitting beside the lovely lady, than do the wounds found in the body on the slab at Bethesda? If that should be the case, then it is quite possible that the body buried at Arlington, which was taken there from Bethesda, may not be the one that was riding in the Presidential car, beside the lovely lady, who was certainly hit from the front. It's your move...



Kennedy assassination students will be quick to remind me that Officer Tippit was pronounced dead on arrival at Methodist Hospital, not the Parkland Hospital. How then could his wounds match up with those we know were inflicted on the man sitting beside the lovely lady in the Presidential car? Good question. Here is the good answer. While it is true that Tippit was **officially** reported DOA at Methodist, the documented fact is that his body was taken first to Parkland. Not only that, the Dallas Police radio reported Tippit DOA at Parkland on two different occasions while communicating with their station, as set forth in Volume 17, at pages 376 and 379.

Actually, it wasn't until much later that the dead body of Officer Tippit was taken from Parkland and reported as

DOA at Methodist as set forth in Volume 17, page 472. Why should they take a dead body from one hospital to another? I think that it is highly irregular that a body would be reported in DOA at two different hospitals, and then neither hospital produce an autopsy for the record. What do you think?

Perhaps we shall never be certain of the answers to these questions raised here. The X-rays of the body at Bethesda will never be made public and, for some strange reason, Dr. Humes at the government hospital at Bethesda burned his notes. I have brought up the major point of the two different bodies, or if you insist two different descriptions of the same body, for just one reason: I was unable to continue with the actions of the man in black, CIA assassin George S. De Moreschmidt, until you know that I know that there are conflicting reports. I am stating here, from personal discussions with some highly placed intelligence people, that there were two bodies wheeled into the Parkland Hospital, one feet first and the other head first. Both were dripping blood and each had a man's suit coat over the face, and both were dead on arrival.

It is my opinion, and stated to me as a fact, that one of those bodies from the motorcade was that of Officer J. D. Tippit. Incidentally, have you ever seen a picture of Officer J. D. Tippit? It was in the newspapers locally, but his face is not nationally known. Tippit, shown in a baseball uniform at a younger age, was almost a perfect double of the man we knew as John F. Kennedy. What do you think the odds would be for a random police officer, alleged to have been gunned down by a frantic Oswald to just happen also to be a double of the President? Just who was in that Presidential car, anyway? I do not know for sure, do you? Whoever it was, we know how he was killed.

Chapter Seven

Whatever happened in Dealey Plaza on November 22, 1963, it is certain that the President would have been dead by this time, 28 years later. He was dying of Addison's Disease during his Presidency, and according to the President of the American Association of Physicians and Surgeons whom I knew at that time, Kennedy only had a few years left to live. Almost any physician who knew Kennedy or saw him on TV, will verify what I am stating to you here.

So, bearing in mind that I know all about the conflicting autopsy reports, I am going to return to the man in black and record for you what he does next. I think you will find it fascinating if you have never made a study of this case in any depth.

The First Burst, Four Shots

Bullet #1 -Chest wound.

Bullet #2 -Hit lady whose blood and flesh went all over Officer Martin as he drove by, and went on to hit the throat.


Bullet #3 -Hit forehead and blew out the **right** rear of his head. Any bullet coming from the grassy knoll, if you think about it, would have blown out the **left** rear of his head. Come on, Mr. Stone!

Bullet #4 -Hit person on sidewalk, as set forth in Volume 20, page 410.

After firing his first burst of shots, the man in black, appears to swing his machine pistol so as to track his target as it moves past him. If he hit the man beside the lovely lady in the forehead, as Parkland stated, then he is already dead at the end of the first four shots. In any event, the man in black is not certain of the outcome, so he just keeps on firing.

The Second Burst, Five Shots

Bullet #5 -Hit the inside of the wind-



shield of the driver's compartment cracking the glass. Volume 16, page 945. Bullet #6 -Hit the windshield chrome, as set forth in Volume 16, page 946.

Bullet #7 -Hit the sign. Volume 16, page 19-24.

Bullet #8 -Hit the motorcycle officer on the far side of the motorcade. Volume 18, page 763, and Volume 19, page 535.

Bullet #9 -Known as the Koolade bullet, Volume 6, page 212.

These five bullets were fired very rapidly, as a burst while sweeping to the left, taking a slightly different angle with each bullet. An engineering survey and study was made of these bullets by the George C. Thomson Engineering Company, of Glendale, CA. This study proved with instruments that each of these bullets followed the paths which originated from the man in black.

The Third Burst, Three Shots

The next three bullets came as a burst and hit the man beside the lovely lady striking the man in front of him. One cannot determine whether there were 2, 3 or 4 shots in this burst, as we do not know the exact position of the wrist. Thus the shoulder-chest wound could have come from the same bullet that hit the wrist. Knowing that the weapon is capable of this many shots, I have labeled each wound as a separate shot.

Bullet #10 -Right shoulder/chest. Volume 6, page 19.

Bullet #11 -Right wrist, as found in Volume 6, page 92

Bullet #12 -Left thigh, as recorded in Volume 6, page 90.

The Fourth Burst, Two Shots

Bullet #13 -Hit child at curb on the north side of Elm Street, Volume 11, Page 218.

Bullet #14 -Hit man named John Wiseman, Volume 19, page 535.

The Fifth Burst, Three Shots

Now we get down to the fifth burst of shots and one of these killed the man beside the lovely lady, if you choose to reject the Parkland report and accept the Bethesda autopsy. In any event, this is the "shot" generally regarded as the fatal one based upon the Warren Report. Thousands of column inches have been written about this "magic bullet" by dozens of writers in an effort to explain it. Two Secret Service agents, Agent Hickey and Agent Bennett, saw the bullets hit. What is generally not known is that the affidavit of one of these agents was contrary to the conclusions of the Warren Report. His affidavit appears in Volume 24 at page 542. One of the eye-witnesses was asked to testify, and the other was not. Both sat in the rear seat of the Presidential follow-up car. The accepted eye-witness's testimony appears in Volume 18 at page 762. Let's see if you can figure out which eye-witness Earl Warren and his fellow conspirators would not ask to testify:

Secret Service Agent Hickey:

"At the moment he was almost sitting erect, I heard two reports which I thought were shots and that appeared to me completely different in sound than the first report and were *in such rapid succession* that there seemed to me to be no time element between them. It looked to me as if the President was struck in the right upper rear of his head. The first shot of the second two seemed as if it missed because the hair on the right side of his head flew forward and there didn't seem to be any impact against his head."

Secret Service Agent Bennett:

"The President's auto moved down a slight grade and the crowd was very sparse. At this point I heard a noise that immediately reminded me of a firecracker. Immediately upon hearing the supposed firecracker, (I) looked at the Boss's car. At this time I saw a shot hit the Boss about 4 inches

down from the right shoulder; a second shot followed immediately and hit the right rear high on the Boss's head."

Well, which one? Agent Hickey says that the man beside the lovely lady was hit in the rear of the head but mentions nothing about a hit in the shoulder. The Parkland report does not mention anything about a hit at the right shoulder. Agent Bennett's affidavit mentions the President's car, but then talks about someone called "the Boss" who had a different set of injuries! Do you suppose that this is beginning to unravel the mystery of the two bodies? There is testimony in Volume 20 at page 163 which may indicate who this second man is, but I cannot go into it in this pamphlet. It is enough to publish here that Agent Bennett was **not** asked to testify. Note however, that Agent Hickey testifies to bullets *in rapid succession*. Note also that Agent Bennett mentioned noises that sounded like a firecracker.

Probably the best analysis of this "bullet", or as I state it, the fifth burst from the man in black's machine pistol, can be found in the September, 1967 issue of *American Opinion** in an article by the late Medford Evans. He concluded:

"...for if Kennedy was shot from behind and not from above, and not from the right but from the left, then the list of suspects, broadens from the loner Oswald, yet remains shockingly narrow. Eliminating at once the widow, who was victimized almost as much as her husband and escaped just by inches from being killed herself, here remain three groups of possible suspects -- persons physically in position to have fired such a shot. These were (1) spectators on the south side of Elm Street near the point of assassination, (2) members of the Dallas Police, and (3) members of the Secret Service."

I think that it can be said that the

Police Officers aboard their motorcycles could not have done the job and ride too. There are no pictures that even suggest such activity. It is possible that one of the Secret Service agents riding in the Presidential follow-up car could have shot at the man beside the lovely lady from behind, but how could they have fired the first burst of shots **toward the car** when they were riding behind? There are no photographs that indicate any such activity. There is a current school of thought that the driver of the Presidential car turned around and fired point blank at the man riding beside the lovely lady, ignoring the fact that there were two people between the driver and the target and a dozen other trajectories.

Thus the only group left, according to Dr. Evans, are the spectators. The man in black is the only one who indicates from the available pictures that he is up to anything unusual. Here is where, in my opinion, Medford Evans drops the ball. He writes:

"There were so few spectators that none of them could possibly have shot the President with a rifle or even a pistol without the virtual certainty of being seen..."

Yet Dr. Evans never suggested who could have done it. His analysis is entirely correct and absolutely accurate, but he did not carry it to its logical conclusion. Perhaps he decided that he had said enough. There have been so many strange deaths, that I did not blame him. I had the utmost respect for Dr. Evans and personally knew him to have been one of America's finest patriots. Yet, the assumption that a gunman would have been seen is not proof that none was there. Assassins always assume these risks. It was not as likely that he would be seen as one might at first think. Those who lined the street were, for the most part, Kennedy fans. The rest came for a personal glimpse of the President of the

*This 1967 article has been reprinted in *The New American*, April 6, 1992 and is available for \$2.50 plus \$2.00 postage and handling from 770 Westhill Blvd., Appleton, WI 54015

United States, an event which is at least unusual for most of them. Having come for this purpose, and waiting for as long as an hour, it is certain that their attention would have been focused on the man or the lovely lady as they were riding by. Second, most of the spectators were on the north side of the street and various vehicles of the motorcade were between them and the gunman in black during those few moments. Third, the motorcade was moving between 15 and 20 feet per second. The maximum time that the gunman would need to have his weapon exposed would be less than ten seconds. Even if he had it out and in use for as long as twenty seconds, how many would have seen it during the moments as the Presidential car passed, or even heard a silenced pistol? Fourth, the people on the north side of the street would be looking south, right into the sun low in the sky of a November day. Regardless of all the logical arguments, one way or the other, the fact is that the man in black did shoot as many as 21 shots in rapid succession in less than ten seconds.

Finally, go back and read the data given by Agent Bennett in his affidavit. Note that he stated that he heard the sound of firecrackers just before the shooting started. That was not unusual testimony, for no less than 20 expert witnesses before the Warren Commission stated that they heard the noise of firecrackers. For example, read Volume 7 at pages 107, 509, 517, 540, etc. Most of these indicate that the firecracker noise came from the general direction of the Book Depository. Without exception, every single Secret Service agent mentioned the noise of firecrackers just before the shooting started. Some of the testimony concerns pieces of spent firecrackers found near the front entrance of the Book Depository which is below Oswald's window. If Oswald was doing all the shooting, who was tossing out the fire-

crackers from the open window? Secret Service agents are well-trained men. They have learned to identify sounds of different firearms, and certainly know that the sound of a firecracker is not the same as that of a rifle or carbine. Agent Kellerman was riding in the front seat of the Presidential car. He testified to the noise of the firecrackers and indicated that an automatic weapon was used. This can be read in Volume 2 at page 76: Mr. Spector: Now in your prior testimony you described a flurry of shells into the car. How many shots did you hear after the first noise which you describe as sounding like a firecracker?

Note: This is not the first "Oswald bullet," but the first burst of 4 bullets.

Mr. Kellerman: Mr. Spector, those shells came in all together.

Mr. Spector: Are you able to say how many there were?

Mr. Kellerman: I am going to say two, and it sounded like a double bang -- bang, bang.

Note: These "bangs" are not the first two "Oswald bullets," but the first two bursts of automatic fire, totalling 9 bullets.

Mr. Spector: What is the best estimate of time in seconds from the first noise sounding like a firecracker until the second noise you heard?

Mr. Kellerman: It was instantaneous.

Mr. Spector: No; let me repeat the question so that you understand it. From (sic) the time you first heard the noise coming from your right rear which you describe as sounding like a firecracker, until you heard the flurry of shots?

Mr. Kellerman's answer is long and drawn out, but he gives the time interval of no more than 2 or 3 seconds. So, you can see that the firing of the machine pistol of the man in black began only seconds after the diversionary noise began. Evidence seems to prove to me that the man in black was waiting for it. Please remember that Oswald's clumsy

bolt-action carbine could not put out anything like a "flurry of shots." Apparently, this is the reason that the Secret Service agents were looking toward the Book Depository in the Altgens photograph. Certainly many of the spectators did so soon after and would not have seen the man in black in operation. These diversionary firecrackers held their attention just long enough for the man in black to complete his mission. Just the matter of the firecrackers alone, since Oswald could not have set them off and fired his carbine at the same time, is enough to prove a conspiracy. Thus far, in this fifth burst, we have:

- Bullet #15 -Hit hair but missed the head of the man beside the lovely lady.
- Bullet #16 -Hit the back of his head and was the fatal bullet if you reject Parkland and accept the Bethesda autopsy.
- Bullet #17 -Hit "the Boss" in the right shoulder.

The Sixth Burst - Four Shots

At this point in time, whether you accept Body #1 and its wounds from the Parkland Hospital autopsy, or Body #2 from the Bethesda Naval (Government) Hospital autopsy, as being that of the man beside the lovely lady, the fact is that the target, was, at this point in time, quite dead. He died instantly, and well before leaving Dealey Plaza in the Presidential car. However, the man in black did not know it for sure, and he kept right on shooting.

- Bullet #18 -Hit the pavement of Elm Street set forth in Volume 6, page 221.
- Bullet #19 -Hit pavement at left of the Presidential car as set forth in the Skelton testimony, Volume 6, page 238.
- Bullet #20 -Hit the turf near the overpass and was discovered by Patrolman Foster and set forth in Volume 6, page 252.
- Bullet #21 -Hit the curb of Main Street near the underpass, a fragment of which

hit Mr. James Tague in the cheek. You will find this evidence in Volume 7, page 552.

Note that none of these last four shots could have come from any gunman behind the fence on the "grassy knoll" as suggested in the movie *JFK*.

I have provided you with the documented evidence of at least 21 bullets fired by someone in Dallas during the murder of the man riding beside the lovely lady. Yet after their sobering deliberations, the Warren Commission unanimously concluded:

"The consensus among the witnesses at the scene was that there were three shots fired. However, some heard only two shots, while others testified that they heard four shots and perhaps as many as five or six shots."

I am only an amateur at criminal investigations. I do have professional friends who have helped me. From them, whose names need not be mentioned, and my own personal research, you have before you the solution to the most famous murder in history. Like most crimes, the solution once deduced, seems obvious and simple. Oswald, though captured and publicly executed, did his assigned task very well. The man in black escaped and for some reason the Warren Commission, and later Congressional investigations, have decided to ignore all theories but one: Lone assassin, no conspiracy. Isn't it about time you began asking questions as to why?



Nord Davis, Jr.
Northpoint



Epilogue

- 1 -

An *epilogue* is something that rounds out a literary or musical work and gives it that final punch so that hopefully it will be remembered forever. Well, perhaps this is too assuming a heading for what is to follow. Isn't it enough that you have the awesome facts on the most famous Dallas murder? Tell me, has the proof of a conspiracy been pretty well established, beyond any reasonable doubt, in just these few pages?

I suppose if it had not been for Oliver Stone's propaganda movie, **JFK**, I might not have bothered to reprint our **Dallas Conspiracy**, content to leave its revelations to the students of conspiratorial history. After all these years, who really cares what happened in a ten second span of time on November 22, 1963? The problem is simply this: I have published that there was a conspiracy to kill the President and install another Executive into the White House. While Mr. Stone correctly champions the conspiracy theory, he goes to great length to lay that wicked association at the feet of the wrong parties! These are people who he states are of the patriotic Right, when clearly all evidence points to those who are either known communists, as was Oswald, or openly sodomites such as David Ferrie and Clay Shaw. He gives the impression that the murder was the work of the "Military-Industrial Complex," with the help of the Mafia, the CIA, and the international bankers. Which may well be true. The objectional part, and perhaps the covert purpose of the film, is his insinuation that these entities are also of the patriotic Right, when in fact they have been working to support inter-

national socialism for decades, and do not represent the essence of the American way.

My purpose, therefore, is to provide a low-cost pamphlet that can be given away by the thousands to those who are attending the **JFK** movie.

I watched the **JFK** movie twice, and made an audio recording of it. I did not appreciate its filthy language, its homosexual scene, its suggestions of nudity and its disjointed history. The movie needed none of these things to make its point. Stone provides us the best insight into his movie motives when he is recorded in an interview with *Time Magazine*, December 23, 1991 as admitting:

"I think the artist has the right to interpret and reinterpret history and the events of his time. It is up to the artist himself to determine his own ethics by his own conscience." **What utter nonsense!**

Frankly, there is already more information in **Dallas Conspiracy** than was provided by me in 1968. I had planned to provide only enough information for the serious student to begin his research. With this, he could develop his own conclusions as to motives behind what happened. Yet, everyone I have ever talked to wants me to provide them with the intricate motives at the same level of accuracy as was adhered to in solving this murder. This cannot be done! One can only imagine what could be written if one wanted to speculate in that treacherous arena! We know, for instance, that **JFK** was suffering from Addison's Disease, a fatal degeneration

of the adrenal cortex. A former President of the American Association of Physicians and Surgeons was a good friend, and he confided to me that it was not likely that Kennedy would have had five years to live on the date of the Dallas murder. However, health permitting and no scandal surfacing, he may have been renominated by his party in 1964. However, he had very serious liabilities which made his continuing on as President unlikely. There were perhaps a dozen of them, any of which might be enough for some people to combine together to eliminate him. I will mention only five.

First. He made the unwise statement on several occasions that he was going to issue non-interest-bearing United States Notes. This is different paper money than the *private* Federal Reserve Notes we carry around today. One of the last Presidents to decide on that honest financial objective for America was Abraham Lincoln. We know what happened to him. There is simply no way that those powerful forces, who run our government behind the scenes, are going to abandon their huge *personal* profits to let Americans spend their own money without paying very substantial interest charges for the privilege.

Second. Although he was, by political philosophy, a Fabian Socialist and an honor graduate of the London School of Economics, he still did not approve of America's military involvement in the Vietnam War designed to bring that part of the world into political and economic position for the planned New World Order. His remarks about terminating our involvement, interpreted by Oliver Stone as challenging the power of the Military-Industrial Complex, would have been enough to have him terminated as President.

Third. As Stone's **JFK** pointed out, Kennedy had stood the Soviets down regarding Cuba. All students of the world

socialist behind the scenes tactics know that Moscow and Washington have really been "two hands on the same person," with the socialist "good guy - bad guy routine," since the Wilson Administration. What the Soviets did was in the best interests of International Socialism, now to be called The New World Order. Kennedy had tossed a monkey wrench into their well-oiled machinery by ordering the Soviet missiles removed from Cuba.

Fourth. Had Mr. Kennedy been renominated, it is known that he intended to "dump Johnson" and call for a Vice President more in keeping with the Kennedy philosophy of government. Johnson had a personal reason to have wanted Kennedy out of the way and might not have been willing to trust the fickle forces of Addison's Disease to make his Presidency a reality. With his promotion of many openly communist causes soon after Johnson became President, it might seem logical that the communists would have wanted him President. This might explain why so many of the communist underground were involved in the murder in Dallas. Johnson's first visit, after being sworn in aboard Air Force One, was to the home of Abner Berry, who was then on the Central Committee of the Communist Party, USA. Are you shocked? If so, you have led a sheltered life, my friend.

Fifth. We know that Kennedy, as President and as a Roman Catholic married man, was heavily involved both sexually and romantically with Norma Jeane Baker, known as Marilyn Monroe.* At the same time, without his knowledge,

* Monroe was her mother's maiden name. It is common for people involved in Jewish affairs and their society, as well as those of the Jewish race, and/or those working in the entertainment business, to adopt their mother's maiden name as their professional name. It is one of those covert evidences that they have arrived and are sold out to that culture.



The Late Marilyn Monroe

she was also dating his brother, Robert. This created a major problem between the two brothers. Marilyn sang a "Happy Birthday, Mr. President" song at Kennedy's huge birthday party at Madison Square Garden, on May 29, 1962. She sang it with such compassion in her voice that one socialite there described the rendition as "an embrace." It was because of this song that Robert found out about the competition from his brother and a major White House feud broke out between them. The two actually had a fistfight over the woman during the first week in June, 1962! What is not at all known is that Ms. Marilyn was also sleeping with one of the Mafia's top kingpins, the late Sam Giancana.* Even if one is President, it is not healthy to publicly slap the

*His actual name was Momo Salvadore Guingamo. Sam Giancana was an alias.

face of such a mobster by sleeping with his girl. It doesn't matter that you might not even know about it!

Seventy days later, Marilyn would be dead, executed by lethal injection. One man, of three known to have been involved, was Ray Huntley Martin, a Mafia operative. Thus, Sam Giancana also had personal reasons to want to see Jack Kennedy very seriously dead. We won't even speculate about brother Robert's murder, will we? What is not well known is that Sam Giancana was also a CIA asset who had the contacts and the firepower to get the job done. Sam Giancana's nephew, who has the same name, in his book, Double Cross insists that his uncle was the man who arranged the murder in Dallas. Well, perhaps.

In these five possible motives, I have evidence that personalities among each of them were involved in what happened in Dallas on November 22, 1963. Beyond that, I was not there among them. I was not privileged to see the Exhibits or hear or read the testimony that has been locked up until well into the 21st century. If it really was a lone assassin who went crazy with a mail-order carbine, then why would the Warren Commission see fit to seal any part of the evidence? It is clear that these two conspiracies still exist, one which killed the man riding beside the lovely lady, and another to cover up the material evidence of that famous felony. Further, I positively state, that these two conspiracies are related, if not in fact, one and the same.



The Late Sam Giancana

There are those who scoff at such an idea, but Mrs. Kennedy hinted at this with her classic words as she prepared to walk down

the ramp* from Air Force One in her very slightly blood-stained clothes:

"I want them to see what they have done."

This could not be a reference to Oswald, for as far as she knew, he was safely locked up in the Dallas Police Station. He would not be seeing her come down the ramp. No, one must assume that she was thinking of the Washington power elite, whom she knew would be there and those whom she knew would have gained the most from a transfer of power. So, for whatever the motive behind the Dallas Conspiracy, it is a fact that a quick transfer of power was effected. Some insist that Lyndon Johnson was the chief beneficiary. That is not true. We know that Kennedy had represented the Rothschild or Zionist wing of the world socialists, who compete for power with the Rockefeller branch. Johnson and the CIA represent the Rockefeller side. Nixon, Ford, Carter, Reagan and Bush are all on the Rockefeller side. So, when you think of the side that won, rather than looking at Lyndon Johnson, there should be a different paradigm that falls into place.

-2-

William Manchester, in his book Death of A President writes:

*She did not get a chance to do this! It was at night when Air force One arrived in Washington and no one would notice her clothing in the dark. President Johnson, his wife, the staff and press walked down the formal ramp. Mrs. Kennedy departed the plane through the rear cargo door with the casket, and accompanied one of the two bodies to Bethesda in the hearse. At Bethesda, one of the Kennedy staff members recovered her wedding ring and gave it back to her. Did they know that her ring had been put on the wrong body? She wore the Kennedy ring on her left hand during her wedding to Aristotle Onassis in 1968, five years after the murder in Dallas. In the tradition of the Greek Orthodox Church, Onassis's wedding ring given to her was worn on her right hand. So, she was wearing the wedding rings of her two husbands.

"Shriver...realized that Asia, Africa, and South America would assume that whoever killed Kennedy would now be President."

While this may be true for the undeveloped countries of Asia, Africa and South America where a *coup d'état* is common, and the results predictable, this is not necessarily true in sophisticated America. Here such murders of political figures are generally the product of a ruthless group of Elite who wear pinstriped suits, and whose ultimate goal is world domination. They have worked toward this goal for more than 75 years and nothing, and no one, is going to stand in their way. No popular Generals such as MacArthur or George Patton, or even a beloved President, will be permitted to impede the methodical, step-by-step accomplishment of their goals.

By agreement and design, mass murders almost beyond comprehension have been carried out by foreign leaders with whom our government officials continue to do business and banking. Any national leader, if he can prove that he is an international socialist, qualifies at once for American foreign aid at the expense of the taxpayers. It is simply added to our national debt. American servicemen are abandoned into the hands of their enemies by our leaders, while Americans, like summer grasshoppers, amuse themselves with material pleasures, shallow churchianity, fornication, drugs, and the plight of the spotted owls.

By agreement and design, these wealthy Elite of both political parties, manipulate us into one war after another often against enemies who have but a fraction of our strength. Then in the wretched spirit of General Sherman who burned and ravaged the South in an effort to destroy the civilian populations through starvation and exposure, America dropped two nuclear bombs on Japan

killing a hundred thousand innocent civilians, *six months after Japan had offered to surrender*. You don't remember that little detail? Then, again in the diabolical spirit of Sherman, America and England mounted the largest air attack in history, against the defenseless, "open city" of Dresden, Germany, on February 14, 1945. For all intents and purposes, the war with Germany was already over, and yet our government deliberately killed an estimated 225,000 old men and women, German soldier's widows and children in that one night! For years, Roosevelt's raid on Dresden has stood as the all-time greatest deliberate sacrifice of innocent life at any one period in military history. Today, you never hear one word about this wicked fire-bombing of defenseless Dresden in the media or in the government school history classes.

Then came Desert Storm. By agreement and design, under the United Nations direction, Commander-in-Chief George Bush ordered the systematic fire-bomb destruction of the non-combatant women and children of ancient Nineveh in northern Iraq and imposed a world blockade of all medicines, heating fuel and food to these unfortunate people. Now it has been learned that the 90,000 American aircraft missions dropped more tonnage of explosives on that one small section of Iraq than was dropped on all of Germany in four years! We know that 275,000 people, located 600 miles from the border of Kuwait, were killed and thousands upon thousands more were maimed for life. How many of you reading this pamphlet ever raised your voice in objection to this war crime? Desert Storm now stands as the greatest intentional sacrifice of innocent life, over a period of only 100 days, in world military history! It is a national disgrace! Yet most Americans, through ignorance or apathy, still think it was our finest hour. Judgment is coming down on America. It is now seen on every hand. Those same people who promoted and then lied to America about

the Dallas Conspiracy decades ago, have once again lied to us about the secret, covert purposes of the Desert Storm War.

By agreement and design, those who have personal knowledge of the Dallas Conspiracy are being hunted down and killed. I expect this activity to begin again now that the movie **JFK** has triggered a renewed interest in the events of that day in Dallas. One of these is former Deputy Sheriff Roger Craig who saw Oswald leave the Book Depository and get into a Rambler station wagon driven by a man of Latin (Cuban?) descent. His testimony did not square with the Warren Commission's agenda, and hence he was not allowed to testify before them. This one act proved a conspiracy existed, if a pick-up man was there to whisk him away. When Craig stuck to his story, he was fired from his job. There were threats to his life and to his family. When these did not silence him, he was shot as he ate in a restaurant. He survived. He was almost killed again when his car was blown up. On May 15, 1975, before the new assassination hearings were to begin, Craig was hunted down, shot and killed with a rifle. His death was ruled as a suicide.

-3-

For years, American patriots have looked to the FBI as the last patriotic agencies of the Federal Government. There are many good men, some of whom I know personally, who still work for that agency. Some patriotic organizations promote the books, such as Masters of Deceit, supposedly authored by J. Edgar Hoover. They were ghost-written for him by an anti-Christ within the FBI. Hoover was never a Christian. Hoover never contested the findings of the Warren Commission, and this is not a mark of a true patriot regardless of his position in government. Hoover died of a "heart attack" on the Communist holiday, **May Day**, May 1, 1972. There was no autopsy

the ramp* frodes
slightly blood* the ago, have
"I want the storm War.

This, of those
Oswald, hunted
safely vinted
down, has
that ents
poy is
the
ly

al report, and
et the date of
cumstances
trange. In
around
Assassina-

Within the FBI a section known as local agents are unsection of the FBI and operates in areas without their activities with the local office it operates as does Oliver North's within the CIA," taking orders only from very top officials. Some time ago, a man carrying FBI credentials appeared in my home town inquiring about my activities from a number of people. Unfortunately for him, two of those to whom he talked were part of our local Northpoint Teams whose identity is not generally known. Among the questions this cointelpro FBI agent asked was:

"Have you ever seen Nord Davis with, or heard him talk about, any heavy weapons such as machine guns?" The agent was stupid, of course. I have seen the vultures circling me for years because of my patriotic publishing, and would not be foolish enough to keep such weapons as they are good for a 20 year prison term. Second, if I did have an automatic weapon, such as a fine AK-47, would I be ignorant enough to talk about it or show it off to neighbors and friends? As with Roger Craig, who knew far less about the murder in Dallas than I do, there is nothing I can do* to prevent one of their cointelpro agents from shooting me at a restaurant, bombing my car, or planting it with contraband weapons or drugs. I understand their tactics.

*However, my Calvinist Christian faith allows me to trust Our Heavenly Father that He will send angels to take charge over me, and that nothing can ever happen to me that is not in both His and my best interests. A dozen have had to learn that lesson the hard way and they are no longer in the land of the living. Psalm 91 and Romans 8:28. Knowing that, let the record show that we have taken certain precautions. Caveat NWD 10/28/31 S***.D****

We do know that Oswald was cointelpro. He was a paid agent in this group and had an identification number of S-172. He had in his possession a little black book, [Warren Hearings, Volume 5, pages 242-243], which contained the phone number and license tag of his FBI handler, James Hosty, a name which may have been an alias. Oswald had played his role as a decoy perfectly even though he was not the major participant in the murder. Oswald's FBI file was delivered to the Commission, but not even the staff members were permitted to see it. No independent examination of it was ever made.

I probably have one of the largest collections of news clippings on the Dallas murder in existence. I had my readers send me any and all clippings and received them by the thousands. I hired several women, who worked for months, sorting out the duplicates and assembling them into chronological order for me. Interesting! From the Roanoke (Virginia) Times dated June 24, 1976, I read the following interesting statement about the Deputy Attorney General, Nicholas DeB. Katzenbach, whom we believe the cointelpro of the FBI reported to at that time:

"Just four days after the President's murder in Dallas on November 22, 1963, the Senate Report disclosed, Deputy Attorney General Nicholas DeB. Katzenbach sent a memo to the White House declaring: The public must be satisfied that Oswald was the assassin; that he did not have any confederates who are still at large; and that the evidence was such that he would have been convicted at trial. Speculation about Oswald's motives ought to be cut off, and we should have some basis for rebutting the thought that this was a Communist conspiracy or, as the Iron Curtain Press is saying, a right-wing conspiracy to blame it on the Communists."

Unfortunately for the proponents of the Warren Report, the cointelpro has

a modern problem. It is the invention of the Psychological Stress Evaluator. This device was not invented at the time of the Dallas murder. It detects lies from the subtle changes in the subject's voice harmonic patterns. Stress does not always mean a lie, but the absence of stress nearly always means that the subject is telling the truth. Tape recordings of Oswald's interrogation at the Dallas Police Department have been studied with this new device. He was asked:

"Did you shoot the President?" His reply was absent of any stress when he responded, "I did not shoot anybody, no sir."

Please note that I have underlined the word *anybody*. Oswald's voice stress analysis and evaluation proves that not only did he not shoot the man riding beside the lovely lady, but neither did he shoot Officer J. D. Tippit. This is the secondary thesis of **Dallas Conspiracy**, that Tippit was a double of the President and was not shot across town as the Warren Commission would have us believe! This gives further proof of my thesis that there were two different bodies, each with different wounds, and each wrapped differently as I wrote earlier in this pamphlet.

-4-

On page 26, I referred to the unusually high mortality rate among those Americans who, one way or another, have learned too much. Those old enough to remember the day of the Dallas murder, will remember the strange death of reporter Dorothy Kilgallen. She had an exclusive interview with Jack Ruby, the man who later shot Oswald, silencing him forever. Her autopsy took eight days. Her close friend, Mrs. Earl T. Smith dies of an undetermined cause two days later. Another woman, Earlene Roberts, who had the last interview with Oswald, also died of a "heart attack" on January 9, 1966.

Rose Cheramie,* age 40, who worked for Jack Ruby, was killed Mafia-style by a hit-and-run driver on the highway outside Big Sandy, Texas on September 4, 1965. It was ruled an accident. Hank Killam, whose wife worked for Jack Ruby, was hounded by Federal authorities and had his throat cut on March 17, 1964. Robert Perrin, whose wife also worked for Jack Ruby, died of "voluntarily taking arsenic." Betty MacDonald who worked for Jack Ruby was found hanged in a Dallas jail cell on February 14, 1964. Aren't my news clippings interesting? Bill Hunter, who interviewed Jack Ruby's lawyer, Tom Howard, was shot to death by police in the police station on April 4, 1964. Tom Howard, Ruby's lawyer, died of strange circumstances. James Koethe, who was present in the above interviews with Howard and Hunter, died of a karate chop to the throat on September 21, 1964. Delilah White, age 27, who had worked for Jack Ruby, and was writing a book, was shot and killed by her husband of only 24 days.

The sodomite David Ferrie, who was the pilot friend of both Oswald and Ruby, died of "natural causes" after leaving two suicide notes on February 22, 1967. By the strangest of coincidences, Ferrie's friend, Eladio Cerefine, de Valle, age 43, was killed in Florida with a machette on exactly the same day that Ferrie died. See how that works? It is believed by this author that David Ferrie flew to Dallas from New Orleans in a red Cessna 172, with the man of Latin extraction, believed to be Eladio de Valle, to pick up Oswald outside the Book Depository. David Ferrie, as pointed out in Stone's movie, had few friends. The one woman who cared at all for him was Mary Stults Sherman. She was stabbed to death and her body burned in her apartment on July 21, 1964.

*She is also identified elsewhere as Melba Christine Mercedes which is believed to be her real name.

Among the police who knew too much and would not keep their mouths shut, was Lt. Paul Dyer. He was the first of many "cancer victims" among the various witnesses. He passed away at age 33 on February 23, 1965. Then, there was Capt. Frank Martin of the Dallas Police who made the mistake of hinting in his testimony that there was more to be told. He "died of cancer" at age 56, on June 16, 1966. Gary Underhill, a former CIA agent who claimed to know who was responsible for the Dallas murder, was found shot to death. It was reported as a suicide. He was 48. Another "suicide" was that of William Waters, age 32, who died in a Memphis jail on May 20, 1967. Waters had taken an "over-dose" of Demoral, according to the police, but unfortunately there was no autopsy, a convenient bureaucratic oversight. Waters had called the FBI just after the murder in Dallas to provide some information. He was told that he knew too much and to keep his mouth shut. When he would not do so, he was confined to the Memphis jail for eight months on a "misdemeanor charge." He did not know that he was in jail "doing life."

The Baton Rouge, Louisiana, newspapers carried a story on October 16, 1974, concerning the unusual death of a private investigator named, William H. Cooper. He was found shot to death in the bedroom of his apartment. He had been actively investigating the Dallas Conspiracy for ten years. Most of us know how it was done, but he was digging into the why. He was close to proving that the murder was ordered and directed, as he put it in a letter to me, "by certain individuals in the U.S. Intelligence community." The police ruled the death a suicide. Observe: Cooper shot himself in the right temple, transferred the .38 revolver to his left hand, wiped it clean of fingerprints, placed it on the bed beside him, and pulled the covers up over him ---all in the split second before he died. The Coroner's report states that the bullet through his brain killed him in-

stantly. It is incredible what fantastic feats a dying man can accomplish.

-5-

There are those who have asked me over the years if I keep up with all the books published with this or that new theory of how the Dallas murder was carried out or what the possible motives might be. My answer is no. I have as large a collection of these books as anyone. The mark of an educated man is his knowing what not to read. I am not going to comment on any other author's work or suggest his motives for publishing it. There is one such author, the attorney who had been employed by Lee Harvey Oswald's mother in an effort to clear her son's name, who makes the talk show circuit these days. He is listed in the 1960 Roster of the Communist Front known as the National Lawyers Guild. In March, 1992, as I was preparing this updated pamphlet, he was heard on a talk show in Washington, DC. He was asked on the telephone by one of my Team Members as to who the man was in the black cape on the south side of Elm Street, Dealey Plaza. In this case, his answer was correct. He gave his name as being George S. DeMorenschildt!

You will notice that I have deliberately avoided mentioning any of the events relating to the Dallas Conspiracy that originated in New Orleans. It is not because I am not aware of them. There may be some future pamphlet that could go into the Dallas - New Orleans - Bay of Pigs triangle. If so, it needs to be explored in far more detail than I can give it in the remaining few pages. Remember this: George Bush has been involved with the CIA operations for many, many years, long before you ever heard of him. Two ships owned by his family's Zapata Oil Corporation were involved in the Bay of Pigs treachery. Would you believe, one was named The Barbara? The other,

predictably, was named The Houston. As I stated, I am not going to go into this area further at this time, but you should know that there is this part of the story.

-6-

Hopefully, there will be some students of the events in Dallas who will carry on this study. Many have written over the years asking for an assignment that will yield some new nuggets. I guess if I can ask the questions, I must have an idea as to the answers. Perhaps you can think of them as my Trump Cards that could be played someday, if necessary. Here is your assignment --

A. What was the purpose of the four white pillows seen on the front seat of the Vice Presidential car shown in Life Magazine, November 29, 1963, page 23? Do you see what you are looking at? Do not write to me for the answer, for I will not disclose it to anyone or even acknowledge if you have solved this riddle.

B. Look at the picture of Oswald on the cover of Life Magazine, February 21, 1964 and tell me in what position, relative to Oswald, the sun is located. Do you see what you are looking at? Cover the top half of Oswald's body, and look at the knees of his pants. Are you looking at the back or the front of his legs? Who authorized this fake, composite picture?

C. We all believe that Vice President Johnson was in the motorcade. Therefore, will you point him out for me in the Altgens photograph or explain to me why he cannot be seen in the back seat of his car? This is an extra point question. If Johnson is already down on the floor before the shooting started, what does that mean?

D. Since it is known that Jack Ruby had the top half of his left index finger am-

putated as the result of a fight, why is it that so many available pictures of him show him with a complete left hand?



E. Could you please indicate the source of the gunsmoke smelled by Senator Yarborough? Volume 20 at page 351. How about the possible source of the gunsmoke smell testified to by nurse Lozano? Volume 21 at page 213-214.

-7-

When I first published Dallas Conspiracy in 1968, I would get letters from folks stating that they had read the pamphlet with great excitement. But, then they would ask, "Mr. Davis, do you really think we will ever get to the truth?" No, we are never going to discover all the pieces to this puzzle, but we have enough to set forth the picture. How does one figure out Theodore White's statement in his book The Making Of The President 1964 in the light of the Warren Commission's simplistic lone assassin, no conspiracy conclusion when he states, and I paraphrase:

"Johnson was first told that Lee Harvey Oswald had killed Kennedy, that Oswald acted alone, and there was no conspiracy, while Johnson was still aboard Air Force One on the way back to Washington from Dallas."

Well, let's see what this means. At this specific time-frame, Oswald had just been captured! He had not even been charged with the murder. He had consistently denied that he had killed the President, and had not even been given a paraffin test to see if he had fired a gun that day. It was not until well after Air Force One had landed in Washington that this paraffin test was given to Oswald, and the test proved that Oswald had not fired either the carbine or his .38

revolver that day! Yet, while the plane was still en route, Air Force One was given the word that Oswald was the lone assassin. According to Manchester, this message to Air Force One did not originate from any Dallas FBI or police source, but had come from the Top-Secret Situation Room in the White House. How did they know, so far in advance of the facts being revealed, and with no rumors or evidence to go on, the exact conclusion that was to be reached by the Warren Commission after a year of Federal investigation? What do you make of this? Was William Manchester in error as to the timing of these events? Are we on the lunatic fringe for seeing a conspiracy simply because there can be found thousands of contradictions and alterations of evidence in the Warren Report's conclusions? Or was McGeorge Bundy, the National Security Advisor who issued the report, a prophet?

-8-

What can you do now that you have this knowledge? First, were you happy that someone took the time and went to the expense of providing this pamphlet for you to read? In almost every city and town across America, there are what is known as "Goss Community Web Presses" that publish the little weekly newspapers. Most of these have the gluing equipment to assemble a newsprint pamphlet such as this one. They come in 30" and 35" web sizes, and if you are calling on the phone, you need the 35" web to republish this pamphlet. Once you find one of these, get several of your neighbors or a perhaps a church or patriotic group to pitch in and pay for the printing of 15,000 or more copies. As this pamphlet is being printed on newsprint, it is also being printed on glossy bond paper to be used as high-quality masters that are "camera ready" for your web press printer. Because you will need both sides of the pages, two sets will be sent to you. Because we are printing only 1,000

sets, these masters will cost \$20.00. On the back cover, I have left a space for you to have your printer put your name and address, or that of your organization, where local people can go for additional copies. In the quantity of 15,000 or more, the price should be around \$1,700 or about 12¢ each. They can be sold for \$1.00 all over the place, and this profit will allow you to give many of them away at the movie theaters which are showing the movie **JFK**. I ask no royalty from your profit from this venture. All I ask is that you not alter its contents, even if you may disagree with it in some point or other. Here is the reason. It is my name that is on this pamphlet, not yours. It will be me, not you, who may have to take the heat. Every line has been written as it has for a specific reason.

Other pamphlets are available that I have published that are just as revealing as **Dallas Conspiracy** in the subject areas that they are addressing. Here is a list of some of them that you may want to write for, and then after you approve of their contents, you may want to order them in quantity.

On Target! 86 pages on newsprint. Third printing, 60,000 copies in circulation and still only \$1.00.

Desert Shield And The New World Order 64 pages on newsprint. What really happened in the Middle East. 250,000 copies in circulation. \$1.00.

The November Journals 40 pages. Daily thoughts during any November. \$1.00.

Gambits & Paradigms 40 pages. Understanding what makes people do what they do, from the wealthy elite to the average American. \$1.00.

Single copies of the above require postage of \$1.00 each. All of the above, in any combination, can be purchased in quantity at the rate of 100 for \$40 + \$10 for postage and handling. Make checks payable to The Northpoint Teams. □

-NWD

So, who is Nord Davis, Jr?

Who is this man who has the audacity to challenge the collective wisdom of the Warren Commission's Report on the Dallas murder? When 200 million Americans think Oswald did it, why would I want to get this dead communist agent off the hook? I am fully aware that I am swimming against the current of what is supposedly known about this famous murder. If you expected it to be substantially the same as the Warren Report, or any of a host of other publications and they were correct, then there would have been no need for me to write it. From our office over the past twenty eight years, we have published and distributed hundreds of thousands of newsletters and pamphlets on a wide variety of topics. These newsletters do have a modest price on them. You can understand that, but you should also know that all of them are initially mailed free of charge to anyone who writes us asking to be on our mailing list. Our publishing costs are provided by The Northpoint Teams, who graciously support our research and publishing on a monthly basis. I retired from the business world at the age of 36 so that I might give my life's energy to some of these unusual pamphlets.

In May, 1966, I discovered that I had been one of The Called from the foundation of the world to be conformed to the image of His Son, Jesus Christ, the Lord of Hosts. I was given the Gift of Teaching, Healing, Helps and Governments. Romans 8:28, John 16:7-14, and I Corinthians 12:28.

This **Dallas Conspiracy** was first written soon after I resigned from the IBM Company to expose their sales of sophisticated computer equipment to the Communists in time of war. My resignation made the New York Times in June, 1966. The entire Soviet space effort, as well as their offensive missile computer capabilities, were then being supplied to them by IBM and other American computer firms.

While I am qualified to write in the field of classic economics, I prefer to be a student of conspiratorial political science and work toward the exposure of its tactics. In 1970, I was nominated to be the American Independent Party's Candidate for the United States Senate from Massachusetts, opposing Ted Kennedy's Fabian socialism. Predictably, I hardly made a dent in Massachusetts liberal electorate. On the positive side, I have won the **Liberty Award** for patriotic journalism from The Congress of Freedom on five occasions. Isaiah 58:1

In 1972, we originated **Operation Rolling Thunder**, a private civilian operation designed to militarily close Haiphong Harbor in North Vietnam to prevent the enemy from getting its supplies. As a result of that operation, and the ten day ultimatum Northpoint Teams gave to CINCPAC on April 28, 1972, President Nixon was forced to put a blockage around that harbor on May 8, 1972 to protect the supply lines to the Rockefeller EXXON refinery located there. As a direct result, and our Team Project goal, the Vietnam War had to be cycled down and stopped. Daniel 11:32 and Psalm 144:1 [KJV] I made some awesome enemies, and some grateful friends, in Washington, DC for that Tactical Project.


Many readers insist that our pamphlets on Bible doctrine are as enlightening as anything currently in print. Americans, from all walks of Christian life, come from across America to spend a few hours or a weekend with us to learn some of the deeper Scriptural truths. The King of Kings has given us a unique way of making the Bible spring into life. My 64 page pamphlet, titled **Desert Shield and the New World Order**, has a circulation of 250,000 copies. Some say it is one of the best blends of Bible prophecy and world events available. In January, 1991, as Desert Storm began, I was in Amman, Jordan. As a guest in the palace of King Hussein, a unique, Bible-based, Tactical Proposal was given to the King, which was implemented on or about the 17th of January. The net result, and the Northpoint Team goal called Project Sheba, was that Saddam Hussein was convinced to make the surprise military decision to order his troops not to kill Americans in the Desert Storm War. Matthew 12:38-42, Jer. 19:11.

Furthermore, I hold a Doctorate in Naturopathic Medicine. I am qualified to teach the Biological Theory Of Ionization, discovered by the late Carey A. Reams, PHD, for the scientific application of food chemistry for the effective treatment of degenerative diseases. My book, **The Curse...** on the treatment of cancer and other formerly terminal diseases, is soon to be reprinted with the lay housewife, rather than the health professional, in mind. The eleven original editions, now out of print, sold more than 350,000 copies. Through it thousands of people have been given a new lease on life. Matthew 10:5-8.

I am proud to be a Navy Korean War veteran and the Patriotic Instructor of my local Veterans of Foreign Wars Post. As a civilian, I was in Central America for two years working on behalf of the freedom-fighters of Nicaragua. Matthew 25: 31-40, Judges 3:23.

-Nord Davis, Jr.

Who Is The Man Shown Here?



\$1⁰⁰

709 Murley

MD 312 JUN

MSC-BATIMBE-MD-212



First
Class

FIRST CLASS